

Renal cell cancer: Old friends and new players



Burcak Erkol, M.D. Koc University Medical Faculty Medical Oncology

- Epidemiology
- Adjuvant systemic therapy
- 1. line systemic therapies in advanced cancer, new agents selected new combinations
- 2. line and systemic therapies in advanced cancer
- Nephrectomy and/or metastasectomy in advanced renal cancer
- Conclusion

- %3 of all adult malignancies, 7th most common cancer
- Men >women
- Related with smoking, obesity and hypertension
- Median age of diagnosis 65
- 5 year survival rate has improved with new treatment agents

- Around %20 of patients present with metastatic disease, and fewer than %5 have a solitary metastasis
- Around %30 of patients undergoing curative therapy experience disease recurrence

- The principal treatment options are;
 - Surgery (only known curative treatment for localized disease, and it also used for palliation in metastatic disease)
 - Thermal ablation(as an alternative treatment for small lesions in carefully selected patients who are not candidates for surgery)
 - Active surveillance
 - Radiation therapy (metastatic disease)
 - Immunotherapy (metastatic disease)
 - Molecular-targeted therapy (metastatic disease)

SYSTEMIC THERAPY FOR ADVANCED RCC EMA AND FDA REGULATORY APPROVED DRUGS



Approval	Agent	EMA and FDA Indications
1992	Intereukin-2	Metastatic
2005	Sorafenib	Advanced
2006	Sunitinib	Advanced
2007	Temsirolimus	Advanced
2009	Bevacizumab (+ IFN-α)	Metastatic
2009	Everolimus	After failure of sunitinib or sorafenib
2009	Pazopanib	Advanced
2012	Axitinib	Failure of prior systemic therapy
2015	Nivolumab	Failure of prior systemic therapy
2016	Cabozantinib	Failure of prior systemic therapy
2016	Lenvatinib plus everolimus	Failure of prior systemic therapy



From ESMO guideline

Adjuvant studies

Recent adjuvant studies

- ASSURE study sunitinib/sorafenib/placebo
- PROTECT pazopanib/placebo
- S-TRAC sunitinib/placebo
 - The results showed benefit of sunitinib over placebo for DFS (p=0.03), but not for OS
 - Too much grade III-IV toxicities at sunitinib arm
- ATLAS study comparing axitinib/placebo did not meet its primary end point

S-TRAC Phase III Trial: DFS With Sunitinib vs Placebo in Patients With Locoregional, High-Risk ccRCC



Ravaud. NEJM. 2016;375:2246.

S-TRAC Phase III Trial: OS With Sunitinib vs Placebo in Patients With Locoregional, High-Risk ccRCC



Ravaud. NEJM. 2016;375:2246.

Published Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor Adjuvant Trials

Trial	Therapy	Ν	Histology	Stage	Starting Dose	Minimu m Dose	DFS	OS
ASSURE ^[1]	Sunitinib Sorafenib Placebo	1943	79% ccRCC	> pT1b, G3- 4, or N+	50 or 37.5 mg (Su)/ 400 mg (So)	25 mg (Su)/40 mg (So)	No	No
S-TRAC ^[2]	Sunitinib Placebo	615	ccRCC	> pT3b or N+	50 mg	37.5 mg	Yes	No
PROTECT ^[3]	Pazopani b Placebo	1538	ccRCC or mostly ccRCC	pT2 (G3-4), ≥ pT3, or N+	600 mg	400 mg	No	No

1. Haas. Lancet. 2016;387:2008. 2. Ravaud. NEJM. 2016;375:2246. 3. Motzer. JCO. 2017;35:3916.

Ongoing Phase III Adjuvant Trials:

Immunotherapy vs Placebo

	Parameter	IMmotion010 ^[1] (NCT03024996)	PROSPER ^[2] (NCT03055013)	KEYNOTE-564 ^[3] (NCT03142334)	CheckMate 914 ^[4] (NCT03138512)
	Drug	Atezolizumab	Nivolumab	Pembrolizumab	Nivolumab + ipilimumab
	Histology	Clear-cell ± sarcomatoid histology	RCC of any histology	Clear-cell ± sarcomatoid features	Clear-cell ± sarcomatoid features
	Dose duration	1 yr	2 doses prior to surgery and adjuvant nivolumab for 9 mos	1 yr	6 mos
	Risk classificati on	T2 grade 4, T3a grade 3/4, T3b/c any grade, T4 any grade, or TxN+ any grade	Clinical stage ≥ T2 or any N+	pT2, grade 4; pT3/4, any grade; N+ M0; M1 NED	pT2aN0, grade 3-4; pT2b-T4; N+
	Primary endpoint	DFS	RFS at 5 yrs	DFS	DFS
	BICR	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
1	Status	Active, recruiting	Active, recruiting	Active, recruiting	Active, recruiting

3. Choueiri. ASCO 2018. Abstr TPS4599. 4. Bex. ESMO 2018. Abstr 927TiP.

Conclusion

There is currently a lack of proven benefit of adjuvant therapy with VEGFR-TKIs for patients with high risk RCC after nephrectomy, and due to it's toxicities adjuvant sunitinib is not recommended now

First line systemic therapies

Systemic therapies for metastatic disease

- Until targeted therapies were introduced in 2006 the treatment was generally based on immunotherapies such as interferon -α (IFN-α) and interleukin-2 (IL-2)
- Stabilisation of the disease and prolonged survival
- Sunitinib, sorafenib, pazopanib, axitinib, tivozanib, cabozantinib, everolimus, temsirolimus, bevacizumab+ IFN-α

What Does the Patient Want at Initial Presentation?

1. <u>Cured</u> of disease, preferably with <u>limited toxicity</u> during/after therapy and the <u>ability to stop therapy</u>

2. To live longer

- 3a. Disease control
- 3b. Quality of life maintained

FIRST-LINE TREATMENT OF RCC: OVERVIEW OF PIVOTAL TRIALS LEADING TO APPROVAL

Study	n	Response vs. IFN-α, %	Median Progression-free Survival vs. IFN-α, mo	Median Overall Survival vs. IFN-α, mo
Sunitinib vs. IFN-α¹	750	47 vs. 12	11 vs. 5 P <0.01	26.4 vs. 21.8 P = 0.051
Bevacizumab + IFN-α vs. IFN-α ²	649	31 vs. 12	10.4 vs. 5.5 P <0.01	23.3 vs. 21.3 P = 0.1291
Pazopanib vs. placebo ³	233	30 vs. 3	11.1 vs. 2.8 P <0.01	NA
Temsirolimus vs. IFN-α ⁴ (Poor Risk)	626	9 vs. 5	5.5 vs. 3.1 P <0.01	10.9 vs. 7.3 P < 0.01

1. Motzer RJ, et al. J Clin Oncol 2009;27:3584-3590; 2. Escudier B, et al. J Clin Oncol 2009;27:1280-1289;

3. Sternberg CN. et al. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:1061-1068; 4. Hudes G. et al. N Engl J Med 2007;356:2271-2281

Relapse or Stage IV and surgically unresectable

FIRST-LINE THERAPY

Predominant clear cell histology

(alphabetical by category and preference) Clinical trial or Pazopanib (category 1, preferred) or Sunitinib (category 1, preferred) or Bevacizumab + IFN (category 1) or Temsirolimus (category 1 for poorprognosis patients,^f category 2B for selected patients of other risk groups) or Axitinib or High-dose IL-2 for selected patients9 or Sorafenib for selected patients and Best supportive care.h See NCCN Guidelines for Palliative Care

NCCN 2017

	N	Median PFS (95% CI)
Pazopanib	557	8.4 mo (8.3, 10.9)
Sunitinib	553	9.5 mo (8.3, 11.1)
HR	(95% CI) = 1	1.047 (0.898,1.220) †

.

The upper bound of 95% CI hazard ratio <1.25 indicates pazopanib is non-inferior compared to sunitinib

Increasing Treatment Options in RCC



EMA, European Medicines Agency; FDA, [United States] Food & Drug Administration; HD IL-2, high-dose interleukin-2

Pal SK, et al. Presented at: 2018 Genitourinary Cancers Symposium; February 8-10, 2018: San Francisco, California, United States. Abstract 584.

Randomized Phase III Study Designs for Combination Tx Atezolizumab 1200 mg IV +



ESMO 2018. Abstract LBA6. 3. Powles. Genitourinary Cancers Symposium

IMmotion 151: Study Design Stratified by MSKCC risk score, liver mets, Treatment-naive PD-L1 status (< 1% $vs \geq 1\%$ patients with Atezolizumab 1200 mg IV Q3W + advanced or Bevacizumab 15 mg/kg IV Q3W metastatic RCC; (n = 454)clear cell and/or sarcomatoid Sunitinib 50 mg/day PO histology, KPS \geq 70 4 wks on, 2 wks off and tissue (n = 461)available for PD-L1 staining (N = 915)

- Primary endpoints: PFS by PD-L1 status, OS in ITT
- Secondary endpoints: PFS in ITT, OS by PD-L1 status, ORR, patient-reported outcomes, safety

Motzer R, et al. ASCO GU 2018. Abstract 578. Escudier B, et al. ASCO 2018. Abstract 4511.

IMmotion151: Atezolizumab + Bevacizumab in Treatment-Naive Advanced RCC PFS in PD-L1+ Cohort OS in ITT Cohort



Motzer. Genitourinary Cancers Symposium 2018. Abstr 578.

IMmotion151: TRAEs With Atezolizumab + Bevacizumab

Treatment-Related AEs in ≥ 20% of Either Arm and > 5% Difference Between Arms



Motzer. Genitourinary Cancers Symposium 2018. Abstr 578.

First-Line Checkpoint Inhibitor + TKI: Study Design





AVELUMAB PLUS AXITINIB SIGNIFICANTLY IMPROVED PROGRESSION-FREE SURVIVAL IN PREVIOUSLU UNTREATED PATIENTS WITH ADVACNED RENAL CELL CARCINOMA IN PHASE III STUDY

September 11, 2018

- · First positive Phase III immunotherapy trial in combination with a tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) in any tumor type
- · Results significant in both PDL1+ and all-comer populations
- Alliance plans to pursue a regulatory submission in the US and discussions with other health authorities based on interim results for progression-free survival
- Trial will continue for the other primary endpoint of overall survival; detailed results to be submitted for presentation at an upcoming medical congress

BID, twice per day; IV, intravenous; PO, orally; ROW, rest of the world Motzer RJ, et al. Ann Oncol. 2018;29(Suppl 8): Abstract LBA6.

JAVELIN Renal 101: Avelumab + Axitinib in Treatment-Naive Advanced RCC

PFS in PD-L1+ Cohort

OS in ITT Cohort (Data Immature)



Motzer. ESMO 2018. Abstract LBA6.

JAVELIN Renal 101: TRAEs for Avelumab + Axitinib

	Avelumab + Axitinib (n = 434)		Sunitinib (n = 439)	
Event, %	All Grades	Grade 3 / 4	All Grades	Grade 3 / 4
 TRAEs of any grade in ≥ 20% of pts or grade 3-4 in ≥ 3% of pts Diarrhea Hypertension Fatigue Hand-foot syndrome Dysphonia Nausea Hypothyroidism Stomatitis Decreased appetite Dysgeusia Increased alanine aminotransferase Thrombocytopenia Anemia Neutropenia 	95 54 48 36 33 27 25 24 22 20 13 13 13 3 2 1	51/4 5/0 24/0 3/0 6/0 1/0 1/0 1/0 <1/0 2/0 2/0 2/0 2/0 2/0 2/0 0/0 4/1 <1/0 <1/0 <1/0	96 45 32 36 34 3 34 13 23 26 32 10 18 17 18	48/7 3/0 15/0 4/0 4/0 0/0 1/0 1/0 1/0 1/0 1/0 2/0 5/1 5/<1 7/1
TRAEs leading to discontinuation*		4	8	}
TRAEs leading to death [†]	% of patients t	1 Crada E AEst avalu	<	$1 = \frac{2}{1} \operatorname{ansh}_{af} f$

Motzer. ESMO 2018. Abstract LBA6.

*No AEs observed in ≥ 1% of patients. [•]Grade 5 AEs: avelumab + axitinib, n = 3 (1 each of myocarditis, necrotizing pancreatitis, sudden death); sunitinib, n = 1 (intestinal perforation).

KEYNOTE-426 Study Design



Stratification Factors

- IMDC risk group (favorable vs intermediate vs poor)
- Geographic region (North America vs Western Europe vs ROW)

End Points

- · Dual primary: OS and PFS (RECIST v1.1, BICR) in ITT
- · Key secondary: ORR (RECIST v1.1, BICR) in ITT
- · Other secondary: DOR (RECIST v1.1), PROs, safety

PFS in ITT Population

OS in ITT Population



KEYNOTE-426: Pembrolizumab + Axitinib in Treatment-Naive Advanced RCC

PFS in ITT Population

OS in ITT Population



UPDATED AT ASCO GU 2019.



Powles. Genitourinary Cancers Symposium 2019. Abstr 543.

KEYNOTE-426: TRAEs With Pembro + Axi

Treatment-Related AEs in ≥ 20% of Either Arm



Powles. Genitourinary Cancers Symposium 2019. Abstr 543.

CHECKMATE 214

Nivolumab + ipilimumab versus sunitinib for first-line treatment in metastatic RCC









Motzer RJ, et al. N Engl J Med 2018;378:1277-90; Motzer RJ, et al. Lancet Oncol 2019 Aug 16. pii: S1470-2045(19)30413-9. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30413-9. [Epub ahead of print].



Motzer. NEJM. 2018;378:1277.

Phase III IO-Based Combinations in RCC

Control	Comparator(s)	PFS (HR)	OS (HR)	
Sunitinib	Nivolumab/ipilimumab ^[1]	No* (0.98)	Yes (0.68)	CM214
Sunitinib	Bevacizumab + atezolizumab ^[2]	Yes (0.83)	No (0.81)	IMmotion
Sunitinib	Axitinib + avelumab ^[3]	Yes (0.69)	No (0.78)	Javelin
Sunitinib	Axitinib + pembrolizumab ^[4]	Yes (0.69)	Yes (0.53)	KN 426
Sunitinib	Lenvatinib + everolimus <i>vs</i> lenvatinib/pembro	Pending	Pending	
Sunitinib	Cabozantinib/nivolumab	Pending	Pending	

1. Motzer. NEJM. 2018;378:1277. 2. Motzer. Genitourinary Cancers Symposium 2018. Abstr

578.

3. Motzer. ESMO 2018. Abstract LBA6. 4. Powels. Genitourinary Cancers Symposium 2019.

Abstr 543.

Control Disease Rates for Major Regimens in mRCC

Regimen	Study	Median PFS, Mos	Primary PD Rate, %
Nivolumab + ipilimumab	CheckMate 214 (intermediate/poor risk) ^[1]	11.6	20
Atezolizumab + bevacizumab	IMmotion151 (ITT) ^[2]	11.2	18
Axitinib + avelumab	JAVELIN Renal 101 (ITT) ^[3]	13.8	12
Axitinib + pembrolizumab	KEYNOTE-426 (ITT) ^[4]	15.1	pending
Pembrolizumab monotherapy	KEYNOTE-427 ^[5]	8.7	28.2
TKIs		9-12	20

1. Motzer. NEJM. 2018;378:1277. 2. Motzer. Genitourinary Cancers Symposium 2018. Abstr 578. 3.

Motzer. ESMO 2018. Abstract LBA6.

4. Powels. Genitourinary Cancers Symposium 2019. Abstr 543. 5. McDermott. ASCO 2018. Abstr 4500.



Conclusions

- The goal of a patient with newly metastatic RCC is cure; therefore, regimens with the highest chance of cure/durable response, balanced against acceptable toxicity/time off of treatment, should be individualized
- Immunotherapy-based regimens offer the best chance of achieving patient goals
- VEGF inhibitors have immunomodulatory effects with a potential to enhance the anti tumor activity of ICI

NCCN NCCN Network®

NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2020 Kidney Cancer

NCCN Guidelines Table of Cor Discu

PRINCIPLES OF SYSTEMIC THERAPY FOR RELAPSE OR STAGE IV DISEASE





2nd line therapies



National Comprehensive Network*

NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2020 **Kidney Cancer**

NCCN Guidelines Index Table of Contents Discussion

PRINCIPLES OF SYSTEMIC THERAPY FOR RELAPSE OR STAGE IV DISEASE

FIRST-LINE TH	ERAPY FOR CLEAR CELL HISTOLOGY		
Risk	Preferred regimens	Other recommended regimens	Useful under certain circumstances
Favorable ^a	• Axitinib + pembrolizumab • Pazopanib • Sunitinib	Ipilimumab + nivolumab Cabozantinib (category 2B) Axitinib + avelumab	 Active surveillance^b Axitinib (category 2B) High-dose IL-2^c
Poor/ intermediate ^a	Ipilimumab + nivolumab (category 1) Axitinib + pembrolizumab (category 1) Cabozantinib	Pazopanib Sunitinib Axitinib + avelumab	Axitinib (category 2B) High-dose IL-2 ^c Temsirolimus ^d

SUBSEQUENT THERAPY FO	R CLEAR CELL HISTOLOGY	
Protorred regimens	Other recommended regimens	Useful under certain circumstances
Cabozantinib (category 1) Nivolumab (category 1) Ipilimumab + nivolumab	 Axitinib (category 1) Lenvatinib + everolimus (category 1) Axitinib + pembrolizumab Everolimus Pazopanib Sunitinib Axitinib + avelumab (category 3) 	 Bevacizumab or biosimilar^e (category 2B) Sorafenib (category 2B) High-dose IL-2 for selected patients^c (category 2B) Temsirolimus^d (category 2B)

^a See Risk Models to Direct Treatment (IMDC criteria) (KID-D). ^b Rini BI, Dorff TB, Elson P, et al. Active surveillance in metastatic renal-cell carcinoma: a prospective, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol 2016;17:1317-1324. ^c Patients with excellent performance status and normal organ function.



Figure 2. Second-line treatment of ccRCC.

^aESMO-MCBS scores for new therapies/indications approved by the EMA since 1 January 2016. The scores have been calculated by the ESMO-MCBS Working Group and validated by the ESMO Guidelines Committee.

ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma; EMA, European Medicines Agency; ESMO-MCBS, ESMO-Magnitude of Clinical Benefit Scale; MCBS, Magnitude of Clinical Benefit Scale; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

Therapies after first line

- Cabozantinib, oral TKI
- METEOR III trial showed that cabozantinib has an improved DFS as compared with everolimus as 2.nd line therapy (7.4 mo V 3.8 mo)
- OS was found superior at cabo arm (21.4 mo V16.5 mo)
- Subgroup analysis showed that bone metastatic patients has better DFS rates

Therapies after first line

- AXIS; previously failed from TKI or targeted therapy
 - Axitinib has compared with sorafenib
 - Axitinib has PFS advantage against sorafenib
 - 6.7 mo V 4.7 mo for PFS
 - Well tolerated drug

Therapies after first line

- Lenvatinib+everolimus was compared with everolimus or lenvatinib alone in phase II, randomized multicentered trial
- Median DFS was found superior at combination arm (14.6 mo V 5.5 mo)
- Lenvatinib alone arm was found superior to everolimus alone arm at DFS

Conclusion

- We don't know the exact drug after ICI or IO now but we will see after new studies for second line or subsequent therapies
- We still have nivo, cabo, everol+lenvatinib, or other TKIs

Cytoreductive nephrectomy

What about cytoreductive nephrectomy?

- Cytoreductive nephrectomy

- CARMENA trial

- Treatments during CARMENA

SUNITINIB ALONE OR AFTER NEPHRECTOMY in mRCC

CARMENA: PROSPECTIVE, MULTICENTRE, OPEN-LABEL, RANDOMISED, PHASE III NON-INFERIORITY STUDY

Study design and conduct



Primary endpoint: Overall Survival

Primary endpoint:

Progression-free survival, objective response rate, clinical benefit, safety

MSKCC: Memorial Sloan Kettering Center; QD: once daily; R: randomisation; RCC: renal cell carcinoma



The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

Méjean et al. 2018

Median OS, months (95% CI)	Arm A: Nephrectomy + Sunitinib (n=226)	Arm B: Sunitinib Alone (n=224)	HR (95% CI)
Overall	13.9	18.4	0.89
	(11.8-18.3)	(14.7-23.0)	(0.71-1.10)
MSKCC intermediate	19.0	23.4	0.92
risk	(12.0-28.0)	(17.0-32.0)	(0.6-1.24)
MSKCC poor risk	10.2	13.3	0.86
	(9.0-14.0)	(9.0-17.0)	(0.62-1.17)

Non-inferiority study ≤ 1.20





- Using either the IMDC or MSKCC criteria, CN is not the standart of care.
- In the poor risk group, patients receiving sunitinib alone had better overall survival compared with patients receiving CN.
- The number of metastatic sites did not correlate with overall survival outcomes.
- Patients with delayed nephrectomy had longer OS .



Thank you for your attention!